# Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2021 to 2022 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

### **School overview**

Detail	Data
School name	Willow Park C of E primary school
Number of pupils in school	126
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	42.9%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended, although as a new school we feel a 1 year plan would be more suitable)	2021/2022
Date this statement was published	December 2021
Date on which it will be reviewed	July 2022
Statement authorised by	Chris Larke-Phillips Headteacher
Pupil premium lead	Claire Jones, SENDCO
Governor / Trustee lead	Becky Taylor, lead for disadvantaged pupils

## **Funding overview**

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£74,983 (£44,721 this financial year and £30,263 estimated for financial year 22/23)
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£8,410
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year	£83,393
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

## **Statement of intent**

When making decisions about using Pupil Premium funding it is important to consider the context of the school and the subsequent challenges faced. This alongside research conducted by the EEF. Common barriers to learning for disadvantaged children can be: less support at home, weak language and communication skills, lack of confidence, more frequent behaviour difficulties and attendance and punctuality issues. There may also be complex family situations that prevent children from flourishing. The challenges are varied and there is no "one size fits all".

We will ensure that all teaching staff are involved in the analysis of data and identification of pupils, so that they are fully aware of strengths and weaknesses across the school.

#### Principles

- We ensure that teaching and learning opportunities meet the needs of all the pupils
- We ensure that appropriate provision is made for pupils who belong to vulnerable groups, this includes ensuring that the needs of socially disadvantaged pupils are adequately assessed and addressed
- In making provision for socially disadvantaged pupils, we recognise that not all pupils who receive free school meals will be socially disadvantaged
- We also recognise that not all pupils who are socially disadvantaged are registered or qualify for free school meals. We reserve the right to allocate the Pupil Premium funding to support any pupil or groups of pupils the school has legitimately identified as being socially disadvantaged.
- Pupil premium funding will be allocated following a needs analysis which will identify priority classes, groups or individuals. Limited funding and resources means that not all children receiving free school meals will be in receipt of pupil premium interventions at one time.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through the National Tutoring Programme for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel.

# Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils indicate under- developed oral language skills and vocabulary gaps among many disad- vantaged pupils. These are evident from Reception through to KS2 and in general, are more prevalent among our disadvantaged pupils than their peers.
	Our school cohort comprises of 57.9% of children with English as an Additional language of these 42.5% are pupil premium.
	Our assessments indicate that of this pupil premium percentage many of the children need oracy support (figures include refugee children).
2	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest disad- vantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers.
3	Our assessments and observations indicate that the education and well- being of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by par- tial school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These find- ings are supported by national studies.
	This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps leading to pupils falling further behind age-related expectations, especially in writing.
4	Our assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils, notably due to bullying, and a lack of enrichment opportunities during school closure. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment.
5	Our attendance data over the last 5 years (previous data from St Michael's on the Mount C of E primary data) indicates that attendance among pupils on Free School Meals has been in line with average, but slipped to 1.43% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils in 2020-21.
	In the current academic year, 56.36% of disadvantaged pupils have met an absence threshold of 10% compared to 40.74% of their peers. While this is partly due to the settling-in period for our refugee children, it is a strong concern. We also notice a greater level of lateness among disad- vantaged children. Our assessments and observations indicate that ab- senteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress.

## **Intended outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved oral language skills and vocabulary among disadvantaged pupils.	Assessments and observations indicate significantly im- proved oral language among disadvantaged pupils. This is evident when triangulated with other sources of evidence, including engagement in lessons, book scrutiny and ongo- ing formative assessment.
Improved reading attainment among disadvantaged pupils.	KS2 reading outcomes in 2021/22 show that more than X% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.
Improved writing attainment for disadvantaged pupils at the end of KS2.	KS2 writing outcomes in 2021/22 show that more than X% of disadvantaged pupils met the expected standard.
To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	<ul> <li>Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2022/23 demonstrated by:</li> <li>qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations</li> <li>a significant reduction in bullying</li> <li>a significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils</li> </ul>
To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	<ul> <li>Sustained high attendance from 2022/23 demonstrated by:</li> <li>the overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than X%.</li> <li>the percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent being below X% and the figure among disadvantaged pupils being no more than X% lower than their peers.</li> </ul>

# Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

### **Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)**

#### Budgeted cost: £25,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Purchase of standardised diagnostic PIXL assessments. Training for staff to ensure assessments are interpreted and administered correctly.	Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction:	1, 2, 3, 4
Embedding dialogic activities across the school curricu- lum. These can support pu- pils to articulate key ideas, consolidate understanding and extend vocabulary.	There is a strong evidence base that suggests oral language interventions, including dialogic activities such as high-quality classroom discussion, are inexpensive to implement with high im- pacts on reading:	1
We will purchase resources and fund ongoing teacher training and release time.	NELI and Oracy project	
Improve the quality of social and emotional learning. ELSA approaches will be embedded into routine edu- cational practices and sup- ported by professional devel- opment and training for staff.	There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers): ELSA approach and ethos embedded throughout the school.	4

# Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £42,800

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Purchase of a programme to improve listening, narrative and vocabulary skills for disadvantaged pupils who have relatively low spoken language skills.	Oral language interventions can have a positive impact on pupils' language skills. Approaches that focus on speaking, listening and a combination of the two show positive impacts on attainment: Oral language interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) NELI (Nuffield Early Language Intervention programme) - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk) Voice 21: Improving Oracy (re-grant)   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	1, 4
Additional phonics and reading sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further phonics and reading support.	Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base indicating a positive impact on pupils, particularly from disadvantaged backgrounds. Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF About Reading Recovery   Reading Recovery Europe - UCL – University College London	2
Engaging with the National Tutoring Pro- gramme to provide a blend of tuition, mentoring and school- led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the pandemic. A significant proportion of the pupils who receive tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers.	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: <u>One to one tuition   EEF (educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk)</u> <u>The PiXL Club - Home</u> And in small groups: <u>Small group tuition   Toolkit Strand   Educa- tion Endowment Foundation   EEF</u> <u>The PiXL Club - Home</u>	1,2,4,

# Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £15,600

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Whole staff training on behaviour management and anti-bullying approaches with the aim of developing our school ethos and improving behaviour across school.	Both targeted interventions and universal approaches can have positive overall effects: Team teach training and implementation.	4
ELSA and mental health training – creating mental health and well being ethos across the school.		
Embedding principles of good practice set out in the DfE's <u>Improving School Attendance</u> advice. This will involve training and release	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence.	5
time for staff to develop and implement new procedures and appointing attendance/support officers to improve attendance.		
Breakfast club		
Contingency fund for acute issues.	Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified.	All

Total budgeted cost: £83,400

# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2020 to 2021 academic year.

ELSA, google classrooms – access to devices, home visits, opening school to disadvantaged pupils, targeted reading inventions, catch up tutoring

## **Externally provided programmes**

Programme	Provider
N/A	

# Service pupil premium funding (optional)

Measure	Details
N/A	

# **Further information (optional)**

#### Additional activity

Our pupil premium strategy will be supplemented by additional activity that is not being funded by pupil premium or recovery premium. That will include:

- embedding more effective practice around feedback. <u>EEF evidence</u> demonstrates this has significant benefits for pupils, particularly disadvantaged pupils.
- utilising a <u>DfE grant to train a senior mental health lead</u>. The training we have selected will focus on the training needs identified through the online tool: to develop our understanding of our pupils' needs, give pupils a voice in how we address wellbeing, and support more effective collaboration with parents.
- offering a wide range of high-quality extracurricular activities to boost wellbeing, behaviour, attendance, and aspiration. Activities will focus on building life skills such as confidence, resilience, and socialising. Disadvantaged pupils will be encouraged and supported to participate, including residential trip for year 6, life skills course and end of year educational visit.
- EAL magic shoes production for targeted children.

#### Planning, implementation, and evaluation

In planning our new pupil premium strategy, we evaluated why activity undertaken in previous years had not had the degree of impact that we had expected. We studied Pupil Premium Strategies adopted by schools with similar cohorts. We also sought advice from our SIO. We followed EEF guidance and share findings with PP governor Dr Becky Taylor.

We triangulated evidence from multiple sources of data including PIXL assessments, engagement in class book scrutiny, conversations with parents, students and teachers in order to identify the challenges faced by disadvantaged pupils. We also used the EEF's families of schools database to view the performance of disadvantaged pupils in schools similar to ours and contacted schools with high-performing disadvantaged pupils to learn from their approach.

We looked at a number of reports, studies and research papers about effective use of pupil premium, the impact of disadvantage on education outcomes and how to address challenges to learning presented by socio-economic disadvantage. We also looked at studies about the impact of the pandemic on disadvantaged pupils.

We used the <u>EEF's implementation guidance</u> to help us develop our strategy, particularly the 'explore' phase to help us diagnose specific pupil needs and work out which activities and approaches are likely to work in our school. We will continue to use it through the implementation of activities.

We have put a robust evaluation framework in place for the duration of our three-year approach and will adjust our plan over time to secure better outcomes for pupils.